



	<p>j. Sports&amp; Leisure. This section had been updated to V1.4 following amendments from Bohunt School. The Secretary explained that further amendments had been requested by them and read out an email from Phillip Avery, the deputy head. After a brief discussion it was agreed that these additional changes should be incorporated.</p> <p>k. Unemployment. A volunteer was required to undertake this research.</p> <p>l. Benefits of Town Status. It was agreed that, despite initial research showing that there was no direct financial benefit in Liphook becoming a town, a section showing the definitions of Villages, District Service Centres, which Liphook currently is, and Towns should still be included in the Plan.</p> <p>m. Review Local Electoral System. PJ agreed to write one page on the current electoral system.</p> <p>n. Neighbourhood Plan. JK gave a brief update on the likely outcome of the feasibility study into having a Neighbourhood Plan. She felt that with all the pressure from developers there was a need for a NP. She explained the benefit of producing an alternative ‘Mini Master Plan’ scheme proposed by Cllr. Ferris Cowper at a recent meeting. PJ explained that a Council Working Party had been set up and would be discussing the position on Bohunt Manor with the developers and would also be seeking advice from the Prince’s Foundation for Building Communities (BFFBC).</p> <p>o. The Index. The Index had been updated to V1.8 on the website.</p>	<p>Secretary</p> <p>All</p> <p>Secretary</p> <p>PJ</p>
7.	<u>Work Schedule.</u> This Item was not discussed.	
8.	<u>Photography Competition.</u> After a brief discussion on this proposal it was unanimously agreed that we should not proceed with this competition.	All
9.	<u>Public Presentation.</u> There was a lengthy discussion on the aim, objectives, method and support required for seeking public views on the Plan. It was unanimously agreed that it should take the form of an exhibition and that since we lacked expertise help should be sought from EHDC. SC said that we should focus on three things: what we wanted to achieve, the message and the audience’s expectations. With the latter’s expectations being foremost in our minds. JT stressed the style the exhibition should take; JK emphasized the need for professional help suggesting Liz Panton and others at EHDC. PJ raised a salient question: “Does the public agree with us?” A sentiment which JP and others shared. PJ gave the aim as ‘To ensure there is adequate awareness of the Parish Plan in the community and in the process of seeking buy-in such that we can demonstrate to EHDC and other decision takers that it is a community supported document.’ SC felt that this should be our strategic objective, but not the aim of the exhibition. He suggested that we suspend the debate on this issue at this stage. He added that no mention should be made to the NP in the exhibition as it would confuse the public. The proposal to form a sub-committee to set up and organise the exhibition was rejected. During a discussion on the content it was agreed that we would present the conclusions from each section and by using ‘post-it’ notes elicit public opinion on what recommendations should be included. These would then be used to formulate the Parish Plan, which would then have to be re-submitted to the public to obtain their final endorsement.	All

	<b>[After note: After the meeting the Secretary circulated an email to all members proposing that we should agree the recommendations, formulate the Plan and then present this at the exhibition instead.]</b>	
10.	<u>Housing Supply.</u> The Secretary highlighted the pressure being placed on the community by speculative developers and the fact that, despite the proposals in the JCS that Liphook should only take 800 houses, there still remained several approved SHLAA sites that could accommodate a further 800. He added that several groups were objecting to current proposed developments, particularly on SDNP land, but neither the council nor the community had alternative proposals to offer: hence the urgent need for a NP. In the light of this information the Secretary pointed out that in the community's eyes a NP could be perceived as more important than the PP. It was acknowledged that a NP could be drawn up and presented without there being a PP. However the existence of a PP would help advocate aspects of the NP. After a very brief discussion it was unanimously agreed that work would continue on the Parish Plan.	All
11.	<u>Any Other Business.</u> PJ suggested that we look at ways of drawing the public's attention to the website even if some costs were incurred. The Secretary explained that he had been using Liphook Talkback for that purpose but this seemed to be drawing more interest from people looking to move into the village. PJ and RY suggested articles in the Community Magazine, which the Secretary endorsed and asked for volunteers to write one.	All
12.	<u>Next Meeting.</u> a. Social Evening. Although it was agreed to meet in the Deer's Hut after the Carol Service on Monday 16 <sup>th</sup> December the Secretary felt that too much mulled wine beforehand might discourage attendance. He agreed to look for a more suitable date. b. Steering Group. Date of the next meeting is Tuesday 14 <sup>th</sup> January 2014 at 7.30 pm in the Millennium Centre. There being no other business the meeting ended at 9.35 pm.	

Signed:

Secretary BLPP

Date:

Enclosures:

1. Agenda
2. Draft sections on the Elderly, Disabled & Medical and Sports & Leisure.
3. The Plan Index V1.8