

BRAMSHOTT & LIPHOOK PARISH PLAN
MINUTES OF STEERING GROUP MEETING

Held in the Millennium Centre on 16th September 2014 at 1930 hours

Present: Trevor Maroney (Chairman), Jeanette Kirby, Colin Osborne, Lee Stewart & Jackie Poole (Secretary).

Apologies: John Tough, Mukund Patel, Robin Young & Tony Rudgard.

1.	<u>Introduction.</u> The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting.	
2.	<u>Safety Notices.</u> The Chairman pointed out the emergency exits and procedures in the event of a fire.	
3.	<u>Disclosure of Interests.</u> Members were reminded of their responsibility to declare any disclosable pecuniary interest which they may have in any item on the agenda. There were no disclosures.	
4.	<u>Minutes of Meetings.</u> The minutes of the 12 th August 2014 were approved and signed.	
5.	<p><u>Matter's Arising.</u> The following matters were raised:</p> <p>a. Item 5b. Allotments. JP confirmed that she had prepared notes on allotments and would pass them on to the Chairman.</p> <p>b. Item 9a. Education & Training. The Chairman explained that he was still waiting to hear from Bohunt, but was reluctant to press the matter as he had been focusing on the Economics section, which was almost complete. He still intended to produce this section.</p> <p>c. Item 9c. Social Care & Unemployment. JP kindly volunteered to complete the research on this section. The Chairman agreed to forward all the papers plus his brief to EH.</p>	<p>JP</p> <p>Chairman</p> <p>JP</p>
6.	<p><u>Chairman's Report.</u></p> <p>a. Five Year Housing Land Supply Calculations. He confirmed that EHDC had now completed the calculations proving that the district was 150 houses short of its mandatory target set by the NPPF.</p> <p>b. Liphook's Sewage System. EHDC Planning Department confirmed that Thames Water had told them that Liphook's existing sewage system is at capacity. Despite this knowledge they were still granting outline planning permission on housing developments and expecting Thames Water along with the developers to resolve the technical issues. This means that at the time planning permission was granted the scheme was not sustainable. This is clearly in breach of the Joint Core Strategy (JCS). The Chairman added that any Neighbourhood Plan (NP) should include a clause that insists on all developers proving that all utilities, particularly sewage and power, will meet the community's needs before any outline planning permission is granted.</p> <p>c. Meeting with Thames Water. He explained that meetings, proposed by both Thames Water and Scottish & Southern Electricity had been passed to the parish council.</p>	

	<p>d. Meeting With Liz Panton, EHDC. He confirmed that he and JP would be presenting the Plan to Liz Panton on Wednesday 17th September.</p> <p>e. Meeting with Churches Committee. The Chairman explained that he had been invited to attend a meeting on Thursday 18th September with the ministers from all the local churches. At it he would explain the Parish Plan and ask each one to produce a page on what their church was currently doing, what it planned to do over the next 10 to 15 years and how the community could help them achieve their aims.</p>	<p>Chairman & JP</p> <p>Chairman</p>
7.	<u>Treasurer's Report.</u> No report was presented.	
8.	<u>Plan Index V2.7.</u> A copy was circulated before the meeting. The Chairman explained that Section 1 had been retitled Vision, but would remain the Executive Summary containing 6 key recommendations; Section 16, Health, Social & Welfare had been retitled Social Care; and a new Section 23 added called Implementation Plan. The intention of the latter was to prove to parishioners that other communities had successfully delivered facilities such as a swimming pool (Waverley Borough Council) and Drop-in Social Care Centre (Grimsby) and the costs involved. LS and others felt that this was more like an Action Plan, which was not within our remit. The Chairman disagreed: he felt that we needed to show 'Doubting Thomas's' that our Plan would work. As there were differing views it was agreed that there was a need for further discussion.	Chairman
9.	<u>Discuss & Approve Infrastructure, Section 5.</u> Version 2 on Infrastructure, circulated prior to the meeting, was discussed and approved subject to some changes proposed by CO and JK being adopted. LS suggested the inclusion of a recycling plant. CO felt that the drainage solution might impact on the proposed new roundabout at Lowsley Farm. However the Chairman understood that since the existing 6" drain ran down the front gardens on Longmoor Road it would not.	
10.	<u>Discuss Economics, Section 6.</u> Version 1.2 was circulated prior to the meeting. Members felt that it read well, it just needed some minor changes and the recommendations added.	Chairman
11.	<p><u>Discuss Relief Road Options.</u> JK's paper on a selection of relief road options, circulated in advance, was discussed. In addition she distributed a map showing an alternative relief road running from the start of Hewshott Lane across Penally Farm to the railway bridge on Haslemere Road. All options were considered in some detail, although the Chairman stressed that no formal decisions should be made in JT's absence. He did read out an email from JT in which he complimented JK on the ideas put forward. Three options were felt worth pursuing subject to the completion of an Origin to Destination Study strongly recommended in the Plan. These were:</p> <p>a. Option 2. One-way system involving a route from London Road across the Little Recreation Ground to Haslemere Road plus the use of Tower Road to complete the link with Headley Road.</p> <p>b. Option 3. Similar route as above, but without the one-way system.</p> <p>c. Penally Farm route from Hewshott Lane to Haslemere Road following the contours of the land and bridging the River Wey.</p>	

	It was concluded that the first 2 options would be considerably cheaper than the western routes across SDNP and Lowsley Farm in the short term. However another site would be needed to replace the Little Recreation Ground as a public amenity.	
12.	<p><u>Agree 6 Key Consolidated Recommendations (V2.3).</u></p> <p>a. The Chairman explained that he had posted the ‘Wish List’ with slightly different wording on Talkback to obtain the community’s views on where the facilities should go. So far there had been a mixed response but no new ideas.</p> <p>b. A quick brainstorm prioritised the list as follows: Social Care/Drop-in Centre, Health, Traffic Congestion & Parking, and Sports & Leisure Facilities.</p> <p>c. It was agreed that Sports pitches and Leisure facilities could be located on land down Longmoor Road adjacent to Bohunt; Penally Farm for a new Business Park with ease of access to the A3 and Lowsley Farm as an alternative; a 2-storey car park at the station similar to the one proposed for Haslemere Station. No decision was reached on the location of a Drop-in Centre, shops or additional parking, although it was felt that parking for a Leisure Centre would ease parking problems near the state schools.</p>	
13.	<u>Any other business.</u> There was no other business	
14.	<p><u>Next Meeting.</u> The next meeting is on Tuesday 30th September in the Canada Room at 7.30 pm.</p> <p>The meeting ended at 9.37 pm.</p>	

Signed:

Trevor Maroney
Chairman BLPP
Date:

Enclosures:

1. Agenda.
2. Plan Index V2.7
3. Infrastructure V2.
4. Economics V1.2.
5. Liphook By-Pass Paper by JK.
6. Consolidated Recommendations V2.3.