

BRAMSHOTT & LIPHOOK PARISH PLAN
MINUTES OF AUDIT TEAM MEETING (V1.1)

Held in the Millennium Centre on 6th February 2014 at 1930 hours

Present: Trevor Maroney (Chairman), Colin Osborne, Andrew Pope & Jackie Poole (Secretary).

Apologies: Margit Beavon, Mukund Patel & Martin Feast.

1.	<p><u>Introduction.</u> The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting and introduced Jackie Poole, Secretary of the Parish Plan Steering Group who would be taking the minutes. He added that a Margit Beavon, representing Bramshott Village Place residents had agreed to join the team. The Chairman also explained that John Pritchard, an accountant had kindly agreed to finish the last 20% of the business directory thereby enabling him to focus on this critical phase of the planning process.</p>	
2.	<p><u>Minutes of the Previous Meeting.</u> The minutes of the 23rd January 2014 were approved and signed.</p>	
3.	<p><u>Matter's arising.</u> The Chairman acknowledged receiving proposed revisions to the text in certain areas from AP and confirmed that he would incorporate these into the relevant sections shortly. The other matters raised were on:</p> <p>a. Item 4a. CO submitted his proposed revisions to the Introduction section Paragraphs 2.11 and 2.12 for consideration and agreed to send these through electronically to members.</p> <p>b. Item 4f. CO reminded members that it had been agreed public footpaths and bridleways such as the Shipwright's Way should be included in the Environmental section.</p> <p>The latter was followed by a brief discussion on the likely benefits of tourism. In this respect GVI the developers of Bohunt Manor Frontage had included provision for encouraging tourism in their plans. The Chairman explained that GVI were monitoring the Parish Plan website and had incorporated some community benefit projects being considered, such as Tourism, NHS dentist, provision for start-up businesses, into their scheme.</p>	CO All
4.	<p><u>Work Programme.</u> The Chairman explained that the audit programme, outlined in Item 3 of the previous minutes, was on track thanks to the efforts of all concerned. He had hoped that more co-opted members, who were all volunteers, would attend these audit sessions. However, he was expecting those unable to attend to submit copies of the relevant sections suitably annotated with their suggested alterations to him via the Parish Office. By way of explanation he confirmed that MF was recovering from illness and it was likely that MP was still on holiday in India. The Chairman agreed to speak to members with the aim of improving participation in the audit process.</p>	Chairman
5.	<p><u>Review Sections.</u> The same format as the previous session was adopted with members highlighting the relevant paragraphs that needed revising and leaving their annotated notes with the Chairman. There was only time to consider the first 3 sections; the other 2 would be discussed at the next meeting.</p>	

<p>a. Hamlets. CO felt that this section was well presented and seemed to highlight the sense of isolation in the hamlets. There was a brief discussion on the potential benefits of them forming their own local council. The following Paragraphs needed revising:</p> <p>14.4. Primary Concerns. AP felt that this paragraph needed to be more succinct.</p> <p>14.5.7. Dog Mess. The wording on stopping dog mess should place the emphasis on the responsibilities of dog owners.</p> <p>14.5.10 Access to the Countryside. It was agreed that the first sentence should be deleted.</p> <p>14.9.6. It was felt that the Parish Plan should not be involved in investigating events that occurred 30 years ago therefore this sub paragraph relating to the cricket pitch should be deleted. Promoting a new cricket pitch would be a different matter.</p> <p>14.14.1. Spot 2. Delete everything after Old Thorns.</p> <p>14.17. AP suggested that the sub-paragraphs 1 to 3 & 5 should be included in recommendations.</p> <p>14.18. Similar recommendations: sub-paragraphs 1 to 4 (amalgamated 4&5). Replace 6 with wording: ‘Maintain and where needed enhance Public Rights of Ways and Permissible ROWs throughout the Hamlets.’</p> <p>b. Planning & Development. CO stated that residents were questioning the decline in the quality of life as the village had to absorb more housing and a growing population. It seemed to be losing its identity and character. There was a debate over whether or not Liphook was still a village. The Chairman explained that according to EHDC, as stated in the JCS, it was classified as a ‘district service centre’ to avoid it becoming accredited with the benefits of a town. He pointed out that an explanation of the three relevant classifications would be included in Section 21b under the Financial Benefits of Town Status. The following comments relate to paragraphs:</p> <p>15.2. CO agreed to write a further sub-paragraph to highlight the loss of identity & character.</p> <p>15.3. AP felt that the wording should be changed to reflect that the population should ‘strive to maintain’ the quality of life etc.</p> <p>15.6 & 7. The Chairman agreed to ask Jeanette Kirby, the author, to update these two sections.</p> <p>15.11. Delete bracketed section in the sentence at the bottom of page 2.</p> <p>15.13. The spelling of Chiltley Manor to be changed in this case to Chiltlee Manor.</p> <p>15.24. Add at the end of last sentence ‘which should be preserved.’</p> <p>15.27. Replace the word ‘sense’ in last sentence with ‘character.’</p> <p>15.30. Chairman to speak to JK about rewording this paragraph.</p> <p>15.41. Correct typo ‘An’ instead of ‘Ane.’</p> <p>15.44. Place emphasis on reclassification of rural lanes as ‘Quiet’ roads and insert in recommendations.</p>	<p>CO</p> <p>Chairman</p> <p>Chairman</p>
--	---

	<p>15.45. Chairman to discuss with JK either summarising the sub-paragraphs or inserting in an annex, plus add to recommendations.</p> <p>15.46. In first sentence replace word ‘picturesque’ with ‘scenic’ and insert after views ‘and high landscape quality.’</p> <p>15.48 to 15.52. Add these to recommendations.</p> <p>15.52.3. Onwards. These appear to be standard BREEM conditions and as such either quote the reference and/or add to an annex. The Chairman agreed to confer with JK on this matter.</p> <p>c. Sports & Leisure. The team felt that very little needed changing. The points raised related to paragraph:</p> <p>17.12. Dancing. JP agreed to complete this paragraph.</p> <p>17.25. Bohunt School. AP felt that certain school governors were recruited to look after community assets. It was the Chairman belief that with academy status this specific group of governors formed from HCC, EHDC and Parish councillors who formed the Centre’s management committee had been suspended. Control of these assets still needs to be resolved.</p> <p>[The Chairman did not allow a full discussion on this topic - he was not aware of AP’s expertise on school governance - for diplomatic reasons; he has yet to complete the Education section on Bohunt School. He therefore felt that this topic should be revisited at the next meeting.]</p> <p>17.34. Correct sub-paragraph numbering. AP felt that this paragraph contained too much detail. The Chairman explained that the detail was required to educate the members of the community on the options available. It was agreed that it should remain.</p> <p>17.35.1. Liphook United FC to be included in recommendations.</p> <p>17.35.6. Swimming Pool. A feasibility study to be included in the recommendations.</p> <p>17.35.7. Leisure Centre & Sports Pitches to be included in the above feasibility study.</p> <p>d. Traffic & Transport. Postponed until next meeting</p> <p>e. Youngsters’ Views. Postponed until next meeting</p>	<p>Chairman</p> <p>Chairman</p> <p>JP</p> <p>All Chairman</p>
6.	<u>Any Other Business.</u> There was none.	
7.	<u>Next Meeting.</u> Wednesday 12 th February 2014 at 7.30 pm in the Millennium Centre.	

Meeting ended at 9.37 pm.

Signed:

Chairman BLPP

Date: